

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,208	12/28/2001	Timothy A. Limon	ACS 59115 7764		
24201	7590 07/01/2004		EXAMINER		
FULWIDE	R PATTON LEE & UT	HO, UYEN T			
HOWARD 1 6060 CENT	HUGHES CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TENTH FLO		3731			
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90045	DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
Office Action Summary		10/034,20	8	LIMON, TIMOTHY A.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	(Jackie) Ta	an-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE   - External form of the continuous cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication.  lays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will. by statute. cause the application.	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication  () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05 April 2004</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cor						
Applicat	ion Papers	· .						
,	The specification is objected to by the B							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be				(a).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed 3/11/04 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-38, 49-51, 53-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jayaraman (5,755,781). Jayaraman disclose a stent including first, second and third sections having pattern and structure configurations as claimed (figures 8-9). Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Jayaraman's stent which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Javaraman '781. Javaraman disclose all the limitations of the claims except for failing

Art Unit: 3731

to disclose the materials of the stent as claimed. The materials for forming the stent as claimed are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to make the Jayaraman's stent from the materials as claimed in order to provide a stent having biocompatible and expansible characteristic as desired.

- 6. Claims 41-48 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jayaraman. Jayaraman disclose all the limitations of the claims except failing to disclose a cover and means for attaching the cover to the stent and drug coated on the stent as claimed. The cover, means for attaching the cover to the stent and drug coated on the stent as claimed are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to employ the stent of Jayaraman with cover and drug as claimed in order to provide a better surface for tissue ingrowth and prevent blood clots.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

June 23, 2004